Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/724,941	SPALINK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	THANH T. VU	2175
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>RCE</u>	
(1) <u>THANH T. VU</u> .	(3)	
(2) Robert M. Meeks.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>10 July 2008</u>	Time: <u>3:00 pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 1, 16, 31, 47, 48, 49.		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Discussed a proposed amendment by adding features of claims 47, 48, 49 to claims 1, 16, and 31 respectively.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(A	applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)